Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness AY 2022-2023

The Kansas Department of Education (KSDE) does not share any student or teacher data with EPPs. In order to meet CAEP Standard 4, Kansas State University-College of Education (KSUCOE) is in the process of conducting a longitudinal case study in which we will sample from all of our programs. This study will span over three academic years, starting in 2019. The data gathered from this research serves to demonstrate "through structured and validated observation instruments and student surveys, that completers effectively apply the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that the preparation experiences were designed to achieve" (CAEP 4.2).

In addition, data gathered also reflects the updated benchmarks set forth for CAEP Standard 4. Completers: effectively contribute to P-12 student-learning growth AND B. apply in P-12 classrooms the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions the preparation experiences were designed to achieve. In addition, the provider includes a rationale for the evidence provided. AND [completers] apply in P-12 classrooms the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that the preparation experiences were designed to achieve. In addition, the provider includes a rationale for the data elements provided.

Rationale: As part of the case study, and as a means to assess our completers' effectiveness, we asked our participants to share their institutional summative evaluations. Respondents shared these evaluations through a Likert survey that included 12 items, aligned the *InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards* (2011) and to *Kansas Educator Evaluation Protocol* (KEEP) performance standards (see table 1). All KSU-COE programs are aligned to both InTASC and KEEP standards, which define key proficiencies that pre-service teachers are to exhibit, and that all teachers continue developing and refining throughout their careers. Additionally, most Kansas school districts follow the Kansas Department of Education (KSDE)'s KEEP Framework.

Findings:

A majority of our Cohort 3 respondents (100%) scored Effective/Highly Effective on all items except for Items 6, 8, 10, 11, 12. Two key areas for growth emerged, including InTASC 6/KEEP 3.2: "Completers understand and use multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide both my and my students' decision making," and InTASC 10/KEEP 4.2: "Completers seek appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession," with 78% of respondents scoring Effective/Highly Effective (see Table 2).

Overall, Cohort 4, Year 1 respondents (n=10) had the strongest scores (Effective/Highly Effective) on items 1, 3, 4, 5, 11, and 12. However, there were key areas for growth that emerged during year 2; less than 80% of respondents scored as Effective/Highly Effective on items 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10. A key area for growth emerged, with 50% of participants scoring Effective/Highly Effective on InTASC 7/KEEP 3.1: "Completers plan instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context," (see Table 3).

Table 1. Institutional Summative Evaluation Data Description and Alignment

		InTASC	KEEP
Item		Standard	Standard
Number	Evaluation Measure:	Alignment	Alignment
1	Completers understand how learners grow and develop,	InTASC 1	KEEP 1.1
	recognizing that patterns of learning and development		
	vary individually within and across the cognitive,		
	linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas.		
2	Completers use their understanding of Learner	InTASC 1	KEEP 1.1
	Development to design and implement developmentally		
	appropriate and challenging learning experiences.		
3	Completers use their understanding of individual	InTASC 2	KEEP 1.2
	differences and diverse cultures and communities to		
	ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each		
	learner to meet high standards.		
4	Completers work with others to create environments that	InTASC 3	KEEP 1.3
	support individual and collaborative learning, and that		
	encourage positive social interaction, active engagement		
	in learning, and self-motivation.		
5	Completers understand the central concepts, tools of	InTASC 4	KEEP 2.1
	inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) they teach.		
6	Completers use their understanding of Content	InTASC 4	KEEP 2.1
	Knowledge from the previous statement (above) to create		
	learning experiences that make the discipline accessible		
	and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the		
	content.		
7	Completers understand how to connect concepts and use	InTASC 5	KEEP 2.2
	differing perspectives to engage learners in critical		
	thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving		
	related to authentic local and global issues.		
8	Completers understand and use multiple methods of	InTASC 6	KEEP 3.2
	assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to		
	monitor learner progress, and to guide both my and my		
	students' decision making.		
9	Completers plan instruction that supports every student in	InTASC 7	KEEP 3.1
	meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon		

	knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-		
	disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge		
	of learners and the community context.		
10	Completers understand and use a variety of instructional	InTASC 8	KEEP 3.3
	strategies to encourage learners to develop deep		
	understanding of content areas and their connections, and		
	to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.		
11	Completers engage in ongoing professional learning and	InTASC 9	KEEP 4.1
	use evidence to continually evaluate my practice,		
	particularly the effects of my choices and actions on		
	others (learners, families, other professionals, and the		
	community), and adapt practice to meet the needs of each		
	learner.		
12	Completers seek appropriate leadership roles and	InTASC	KEEP 4.2
	opportunities to take responsibility for student learning,	10	
	to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other		
	school professionals, and community members to ensure		
	learner growth, and to advance the profession.		

Table 2. Institutional Summative Evaluation Data Cohort 3; Year 2 Participants

1		Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item
-	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4
3	3	4	3	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4
X	X	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	2	2
3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2
3	3	3	4	X	4	3	3	3	3	4	3
3.38	3.25	3.56	3.78	3.5	3.33	3.33	3	3.44	3.33	3.22	3
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	78%	100%	89%	89%	78%
	3 4 3 3 x 3 4 3 3.38	3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 X X X 3 3 4 4 4 3 3 3 3.38 3.25	3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 x x 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3.38 3.25 3.56	3 3 3 4 4 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3.38 3.25 3.56 3.78	3 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 4 x x 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 4 x 3.38 3.25 3.56 3.78 3.5 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	3 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 4 x 4 3 3 3 4 x 4 3.38 3.25 3.56 3.78 3.5 3.33 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 89%	3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 5 3.5 3.33 3.	3 3 3 4 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3	3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 4 4 3 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 x x 3 3 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 5 3.78 3.5 3.33 3.33 3.34	3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 x x 3 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 4 3	3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

Table 3. Institutional Summative Evaluation Data Cohort 4; Year 1 Participants

Participant	Item											
Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A	4	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3
В	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	2	3	X	4	4
С	4	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	4
D	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Е	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3
F	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
G	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3
Н	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
I	3	2	3	4	4	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
J	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3
Group	3.33	2.9	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.1	2.8	2.9	2.6	3	3.2	3.2
Average by Measure:												
% of	90%	70%	90%	90%	80%	80%	60%	70%	50%	78%	80%	90%
respondents												
who reported												
a score of 3												
or better on a												
4-point scale;												
by measure												
Likert Scale: $I = Ineffective 2 = Developing 3 = Effective 4 = Highly Effective$												

InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards: A Resource for State Dialogue (Rep.). (2011). Retrieved April 7, 2019.

Kansas State Department of Education. (2021). https://www.ksde.org/Agency/Division-of-Learning-Services/Teacher-Licensure-and-Accreditation/Educator-Evaluations/KEEP-Districts.

References